



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4748
Title of the Manuscript:	TNAU PDB - Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Proteome DataBase - Black Gram Proteome
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The research is quite interesting in the sense of the search for alternatives that might genetically improve resistance to environmental stresses suffered by black gram. However, is need some suggestions. Both the introduction and the discussion requires a higher enrichment, search from other studies that prove the effectiveness of databases for genetic improvement of grain. The presentation of the results of mass spectrometry is important for understanding the findings. The minor corrections are highlighted and discussed in the pdf.	Since this work is merely related to database, very minimal discussion can be made like describing the database and quoting related databases. However, now we have improved the introduction, results and discussion part to the extent possible as suggested by this reviewer. All the modified content has been highlighted in yellow colour
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		